







4–5 July 2013 Vilnius, Lithuania

Draft Programme

8 May 2013

The Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Lithuania and the European Commission kindly invite you to the 5th international conference held in Lithuania on the evaluation of EU Structural Funds. This year's conference will largely focus on the programming and evaluation of EU Structural Funds for 2014–2020.

The upcoming programming period envisages new priorities for the use of Structural Funds and their evaluation. Increasing importance of the intervention logic in the Cohesion Policy, changing monitoring and evaluation arrangements, elaboration of the monitoring system, use of more robust methods to measure changes and impacts of Structural Funds – these are only a few issues currently debated by the EU legislator. The Lithuanian Ministry of Finance and the European Commission invite you to discuss new organisational, procedural and methodological aspects of EU Structural Funds in the next programming period, intervention logic, monitoring and evaluation, as well as the results of ex-ante evaluations of operational programmes of the next programming period.

Lithuania holds the Presidency of the EU Council in the second half of 2013, and this conference is one of the presidency events. The Ministry of Finance is very pleased that the Lithuanian Presidency will pay special attention to programming and evaluation of EU Structural Funds. The Ministry sincerely hopes that ideas shared by conference participants will contribute to successful programming of EU Structural Funds for 2014–2020 and that their monitoring and evaluation will start on a smooth note and meet all expectations and needs of both the Commission and the Member States.

We look forward to seeing you in Vilnius!



Conference Host

The Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Lithuania and the European Commission's Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion

Target Group

The conference intends to bring together officials from Lithuania and other EU Member States, representatives of the EU institutions, responsible for programming, monitoring and evaluation of EU Structural Funds, representatives from academia, socio-economic partners and other stakeholders with an interest in evaluation.

Date

4-5 July 2013

Venue

Conference Centre at the Radisson SAS Blu Lietuva Hotel (20, Konstitucijos ave.), Vilnius

Working Languages

Lithuanian and English with simultaneous interpretation

Conference Website

www.esparama.lt/2007-2013/en

Important Deadlines

Early-bird registration for conference participants is open until 31 May 2013.

CONFERENCE THEME

Against the background of tight public budgets and ever growing social disparities across the EU, Cohesion Policy has come under pressure to focus more on results and effectiveness of the Funds' support and pay particular attention to the objectives set out in the Europe 2020 strategy. The Commission's proposals for revising the Regulations governing Cohesion Policy have put effectiveness of the Funds and the Europe 2020 strategy as a key anchor for the coming programming period. Amongst others, the Commission has proposed a different approach to programming and intervention logic and to monitoring and evaluation.

- Programming aims at proper identification of challenges and needs and formulation of a corresponding, adequate and realistic intervention which addresses the challenges and needs and contributes to the Europe 2020 Strategy objectives at national or regional level.
- The task of monitoring is to record data for input, output and result indicators.
- Evaluation seeks to measure the efficiency, effectiveness and impact of the Funds by answering whether, to what extent, how and why the interventions contributed to the results sought.

From the very start, programming must lay the ground and establish a reference point for monitoring and evaluation of operational programmes. Already now it is crucial to put a greater emphasis on planning the support and developing indicators, to establish a system which allows storing robust monitoring data, and to establish an evaluation plan setting out ongoing evaluations aiming at evaluating impacts of interventions and distinguish that from other factors.

Ex-ante evaluations of operational programmes 2014–2020 focus not only on tasks that are typical for such evaluation (for instance, evaluation of the relevance of priorities and their compatibility with key strategic documents, or measurement of administrative resources), but also on the relevance and adequacy of programme indicators and evaluation systems. This will ensure to set a proper foundation for the implementation of the new legislative requirements at the beginning of the new programming period.

The international conference will take place in Vilnius on the eve of the new programming period, when all EU Member States are fully familiar with the new regulatory requirements and have already taken first steps to prepare for their implementation. This makes it a perfect moment to discuss the state of play of the preparation for the new period in the Member States and European Commission, share good practices, and reflect on the first results of the ex-ante evaluations.

The conference will discuss programming and evaluation of EU Structural Funds in 2014–2020, including:

- new approach to the intervention logic and new requirements for programme monitoring and evaluation;
- methodological, organisational and procedural aspects of the evaluation of EU Structural Funds;
- results of ex-ante evaluations of operational programmes of the upcoming period.

The worrying unemployment situation and the increasing risk of social exclusion of certain groups of the population in the EU make the interventions supported by the European Social Fund (ESF) particularly relevant for the next programming period. Therefore, when discussing the changes proposed in programming, monitoring and evaluation of EU Structural Funds, the conference will have a special focus on ESF interventions, namely employment, social inclusion and education, administrative capacity building and other relevant social fields. Compared to hard infrastructure interventions, planning and evaluation of ESF interventions are relatively more complex. Designing social intervention logic models, as well as monitoring and assessing results require sound methodologies which also take into consideration other factors contributing to the impact of social interventions. This makes the conference, which will address all central aspects of programming and evaluation of EU financial support, an excellent place to look deeper into ESF funded interventions.

SESSION TOPICS

The overall objective of the conference is to discuss and share information about the evaluation of EU Structural Funds and its findings, explore theoretical, methodological and practical aspects of evaluation, promote cooperation and networking among public authorities and evaluators from Lithuania and other MSs.

The first day of the conference will cover programming and evaluation of the Cohesion Policy in 2014–2020, including such issues as designing the intervention logic, selecting monitoring indicators, adjusting monitoring and evaluation systems and new organisational, procedural and methodological needs in the field of evaluation, etc.

The second day of the conference will deal with the programming, monitoring and evaluation of the ESF. It will look at evaluations of ESF interventions, conducted by the Commission and MSs, how effective they were and what will be relevant or should be changed in the fields of ESF programming and evaluation from 2014.

The conference will discuss the results of ex-ante evaluations of operational programmes 2014–2020. This July many Member States will already have intermediary, if not final, results of ex-ante evaluations of operational programmes for the next programming period. These results will bring more clarity into the debates and new insights into capacities and needs of Member States in the preparation for the new programming period.

DRAFT PROGRAMME

Opening of the Conference Post	DAY 1						
Conference 9-45 Representative of the European Commission - tibo: Representative of the European Commission - tibo: Result Orientation and Logic of Intervention in the Upcoming Programming Period Presentations, doctasion. 9-45 Result and enformance for Cobesion Policy - as Step Change for the Future Results and enformance for Cobesion Policy - as Step Change for the Future Results and enformance for Cobesion Policy - as Step Change for the Future Results and enformance for Cobesion Policy - as Step Change for the Future Results and enformance for Cobesion Policy - as Step Change for the Future Results and Enformance for Cobesion Policy - as Step Change for the Future Results and Enformance for Cobesion Policy - as Step Change for the Future Remains Dilla, Ministry of Finance, Lithuania Simulating Sustainable Growth Using Cobesion Policy: Realistic or a Holy Grail? Presentations QBA Chair: tbe Recards Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014–2020 Excante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014–2020 Excante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014–2020 Excante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014–2020 Excante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014–2020 Excante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014–2020 Excante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014–2020 Excante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014–2020 Excanter Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014–2020 Excanter Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014–2020 Excanter Structural Funds: the New Challenges of Evaluation for Excanter Structural Funds of Colors of Policy and Evaluation for Programming for Evaluation for Evaluation for Evaluation for Programming for	Opening of	9.00-					
Reput Grientation and Logic of Intervention in the Upcoming Programming Period Presentations, docussion Chair: the Results and Performance for Cohesion Policy – a Step Change for the Future Results and Performance for Cohesion Policy – a Step Change for the Future Results and Performance for Cohesion Policy – a Step Change for the Future Results and Performance for Cohesion Policy and Antonella Schulte-Braucks, European Commission, DG EMP. Veronica Ceffey, European Commission, DG REGIO and Antonella Schulte-Braucks, European Application of Membery of Finances Lithuages: Lithuania's Experience Application of Membery of Finances Lithuages: Lithuania's Experience Stimulating Sustainable Growth Using Cohesion Policy; Realistic or a Holy Grail? Dr. J. Bradley, EMDS Consulting, Ireland 11:30 Role of the Ex-Ante Evaluation for Developing Operational Programmes Presentations. Quality and Personal Consequence Presentations. Quality Science Acade Value of Ex-Ante Evaluation Paral Casey, Wesh Government, Wesh European Funding Office, United Kingdom Ceffing and Keeping All on Board: the Added Value of Ex-Ante Evaluation to R&D Stakeholder Management in Operational Programming – the Role of Ex-Ante Evaluation to R&D Stakeholder Management in Operational Programming Dr. Derek Jan Fikkers, Fechnopolis Group, The Netherlands 12:455-14:30 Evaluation of Cohesion Policy after 2013: Need for More Robust Methods, Reliability and Availability of Data Presentations discussion Chair: Dr. Egidijus Barcevičius, PPMI, Lithuania little of the presentation to Prot. Michael Wiseman, The George Washington Institute of Public Policy, United States Experience of Counterfactual Impact Evaluation in the C2 OP RRE, Case of Enterprise Support Dr. Vlodimir Kváco, Ministry of Edomorius Forgue, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluating Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tarmós Landelmon, Urban Research 1 Autl., Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation in the C2 OP RRE, Case of Enterprise Projects Indicated P							
Pienary session Pienary se	Conterence						
Plenary 9.45 Second 1.100 1.							
Penary 9.45 Vernica Codiffey, European Commission, DG REGIO and Antonella Schulle-Braucks, European Commission, DG EMPL Application of New Concepts in Programming: Lithuania Simulating Sustainable Growth Using Cohesion Policy: Realistic or a Holy Grail? Dr. J. Bradley, EMDS Consulting, Ireland							
Plenary session 1				Step Change for the Future			
Application of New Concepts in Programming: Lithuania's Experience Rambinos Dilba, Ministry of Finance, Lithuania Stimulating Sustainable Growth Using Cohesion Policy: Realistic or a Holy Grall? Dr. J. Bradley, EMDS Consulting, Ireland 11:00-11:30 Role of the Ex-Ante Evaluation for Developing Operational Programmes Presentations, Q&A. Chaire Ibe. Ex-ante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014-2020 Harolas Brodoilis, PPMJ, Lithuania 12:45-14:00 Lunch 12:45-14:00 Lunch 12:45-14:00 Porek Jan Fikkers, Technopolis Group, The Netherlands 12:45-14:00 Lunch 12:45-14:00 Lunch 12:45-14:00 Porek Jan Fikkers, Technopolis Group, The Netherlands 12:45-14:00 Lunch 12:45-14:00 Lunch 12:45-14:00 Lunch 12:45-14:00 Porek Jan Fikkers, Technopolis Group, The Netherlands 12:45-14:00 Lunch 12:45-14:00 Lunc	Plenary	9:45-					
Rambinas Dilba, Ministry of Finance, Lithuania Stimulating Sustainable Growth Using Cohesian Policy: Realistic or a Holy Grail? Dr. J. Bradley, EMDS Consulting, Ireland 11:00-11:30 Role of the Ex-Ante Evaluation for Developing Operational Programmes Presentations, Q&A Chair, the Ex-ante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014-2020 Hards Brodies Brodnish, PPMI, Lithuania 12:45 Evante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014-2020 Hards Brodnish Poly Weish Government, Weish European Funding Office, United Kingdom Gelfing and Keeping All on Board: the Added Value of Ex-Ante Evaluation Paul Casey, Weish Government, Weish European Funding Office, United Kingdom Gelfing and Keeping All on Board: the Added Value of Ex-Ante Evaluation to R&D Stakeholder Management in Operational Programmes Pul Casey, Weish Government, Weish European Funding Office, United Kingdom Celling and Keeping All on Board: the Added Value of Ex-Ante Evaluation to R&D Stakeholder Management in Operational Programmes Pul Casey, Weish Government, Weish European Funding Office, United Kingdom Celling and Keeping All on Board: the Added Value of Ex-Ante Evaluation to R&D Stakeholder Management in Operational Programmes Pul Casey, Weish Government, Weish European Funding Office, United Kingdom Chair Dr. Egicilijus Barcevičius, PPMI, Lithuania Hille of the presentations in Cases of Exet Papublic Dr. Violatinis Kváča, Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, Czech Republic Dr. Violatinis Kváča, Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Polluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Polluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Polluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluation Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Uriban Research LA Ltd. Finanda Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: Weight Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Uriban Research LA Ltd.	session I	11:00					
Stimulating Sustainable Growth Using Cohesion Policy: Realistic or a Holy Grail? Dr. J. Bradley, EMDS Consulting, Ireland Role of the Ex-Ante Evaluation for Developing Operational Programmes Presentations, Q&A Chair: Ibc Ex-ante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014-2020 Horodos Brozolis; PPMI, Lithuania Evidenced-Bosed Programming—the Role of Ex-Ante Evaluations Paul Casey, Welsh Government. Welsh European Funding Office, United Kingdom Getting and Keeping All on Board: the Added Value of Ex-Ante Evaluation to R&D Stakeholder Management in Operational Programmes Dr. Derek Jan Fikkers, Technopolis Group, The Netherlands Lunch Lunch Lunch Evaluation of Cohesion Policy after 2013: Need for More Robust Methods, Reliability and Availability of Data Presentations, discussion Chair: Dr. Egdique Barceveicius, PPMI, Lithuania Hille of the presentation to: Prof. Michael Wiseman. The George Washington Institute of Public Policy, United States Experience of Counterfactual Impact Evaluation in the CZ OP HRE, Case of Enterprise Support Dr. Vladimir Kvåca, Ministry of Labour and Social Affais, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluation: Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Iamás Landerina, University of Economics Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Programming for 2014-20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathcyde University, United Kingdom Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014-2020 Programming the Contribution of Culture for Programming the Contribution of Culture for David Services, Strip, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014-2020 Programming—the Case of Czech Republic Conceits of Intervention Logic in Preparation of Development and Societical Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Egie's Saudargatie, Ministry of Culture, Danie's Zervice, Strip, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014-2020 Programmans—the Case of Czech Repu				ithuania's Experience			
Dr. J. Bradley, EMDS Consulting, Ireland				Policy: Poglistic or a Holy Crail?			
Role of the Ex-Ante Evaluation for Developing Operational Programmes Prosentations, Q&A				rolley, kealistic of a noty Grail!			
Role of the Ex-Ante Evaluation for Developing Operational Programmes Presentations, Q&A Chair: the Ex-ante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014-2020 11:30	Coffee	11.00 1					
Plenary session II 11:30- 11:30- 11:30- 12:45 Plenary session II 12:45 Plenary session III 12:45 Presentations discussion Chair: Discussion Session Sessio	break	11:00-1	1:30				
Plenary session 11:30- 12:45 E-rante Evaluation of the EU Structural Funds: the New Challenges of 2014-2020 12:45 14:00- 14:00- 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 14:00- 15:30 15:30- 15:30				perational Programmes			
Plenary session I 12:30 12:45							
Plenary session II 12:45							
12:45 Evidenced-Based Programming — the Role of Ex-Ante Evaluations Programming Office, United Kingdom Gefting and Keeping All on Board: the Added Value of Ex-Ante Evaluation to R&D Stakeholder Management in Operational Programmes Dr. Derek Jan Fikkers, Technopolis Group, The Netherlands	Plengry	11.30-					
Paul Casey, Welsh Government, Welsh European Funding Office, United Kingdom Getting and Keeping All on Board: the Added Value of Ex-Ante Evaluation to R&D Stakeholder Management in Operational Programmes Dr. Derek Jan Fikkers, Technopolis Group, The Netherlands							
Lunch L							
Dr. Derek Jan Fikkers, Technopolis Group, The Netherlands				alue of Ex-Ante Evaluation to R&D Stakeholder			
Evaluation of Cohesion Policy after 2013: Need for More Robust Methods, Reliability and Availability of Data Presentations, discussion Chair: Dr. Egidijus Barcevičius, PPMI, Lithuania Title of the presentation tbc							
Plenary session III Plenary session III Plenary session III Preserrations, discussion Chair: Dr. Egidijus Barcevičius, PPMI, Lithuania Itile of the presentation tbc Experience of Counterfactual Impact Evaluation in the CZ OP HRE, Case of Enterprise Support Dr. Vladimir Kváča, Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Potluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluating Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Urban Research Tal Ltd, Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: We get What We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia Coffee break Total Company of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Presentations, discussion Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: tbc Programming for 2014–20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom Tone Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Egië Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Zervolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions	Lunch	12.45 1					
Plenary session III 14:00 15:30 16:30 1	LONCII						
Plenary session III 14:00- 15:30 15:30 15:30 Contr. Dr. Egidijus Barcevičius, PPMI, Lithuania fille of the presentation tbc Prof. Michael Wiseman, The George Washington Institute of Public Policy, United States Experience of Counterfactual Impact Evaluation in the CZ OP HRE, Case of Enterprise Support Dr. Vlaclimir Kvåča, Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Potluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluating Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Urban Research TA Ltd, Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: We get What We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia Coffee break Coffee break Coffee break Contain the CZ OP HRE, Case of Enterprise Support Dr. Vlacimir Kvåča, Ministry of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluating Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Urban Research TA Ltd, Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: We get What We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia 15:30-16:00 Challenges of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Presentations, discussion Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: the Programming for 2014–20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom 16:00- 17:15 Parallel sessions Parallel sessions Parallel sessions Parallel sessions Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Egié Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Zeruclis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions							
## Plenary session III 14:00- 15:30 Frof. Michael Wiseman, The George Washington Institute of Public Policy, United States Experience of Counterfactual Impact Evaluation in the CZ OP HRE, Case of Enterprise Support Dr. Vladimir Kváča, Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Pottuka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluating Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Urban Research TA Ltd, Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: We get What We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia 15:30-16:00 Chair Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Programming for 2014-20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014-2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions the Conclusion of							
Plenary session III 14:00- 15:30 Prof. Michael Wiseman, The George Washington Institute of Public Policy, United States Experience of Counterfactual Impact Evaluation in the CZ OP HRE, Case of Enterprise Support Dr. Vladimir Kváča, Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Potluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluating Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Urban Research TA Ltd, Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: We get What We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia			Chair: Dr. Egidijus Barcevičius, PPMI, Lithuania				
Experience of Counterfactual Impact Evaluation in the CZ OP HRE, Case of Enterprise Support Dr. Vladimir Kváča, Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Potluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluating Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Urban Research TA Ltd, Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: We get What We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia							
Dr. Vladimir Kváča, Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Potluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Potluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Dr. Oto Potluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluating Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Urban Research TA Ltd., Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: We get What We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia 15:30-16:00 Challenges of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Presentations, discussion Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: bc Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: bc Programming for 2014–20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathchyde University, United Kingdom Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglè Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions The Concept of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions	Plenary	14:00-					
Dr. Oto Potluka, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluating Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Urban Research Ta Ltd., Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: We get What We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia 15:30-16:00 Challenges of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Presentations, discussion ↑ Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: the Programming for 2014-20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom 16:00- Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Chesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014-2020 Programmes - the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions The Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation Of Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland The Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland	session III	15:30					
Network Analysis as a Method of Evaluating Support of Enterprise Networks in ERDF Projects Tamás Lahdelma, Urban Research TA Ltd, Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: We get What We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia 15:30-16:00 Challenges of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Presentations, discussion Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: bc Programming for 2014–20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Zeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions National Evaluation in ERDF Projects Tamás Lathal, Finland Measure Betwat We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia Evaluation (parallel session 1.2) Chairs Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Caulty and Use of Results of EU Structural Funds Evaluation in Lithuania. Standards for EU Structural Funds Evaluation (parallel session 1.2) Chairs Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Danuté Burakiené, Ministry of Finance, Lithuania Can Participatory Evaluation Approach Trigger an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland **Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Can Participatory Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland							
Tamás Lahdeíma, Urban Research TA Ltd, Finland Measurement Indicators for Evaluation: We get What We Measure Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia 15:30-16:00 Challenges of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Presentations, discussion Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: tbc Programming for 2014-20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom 16:00- 17:15 Parallel sessions 16:00- 17:15 Parallel chica Seudragairté, Ministry of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargairté, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014-2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Social Tamás Lata We Measure Diana We Measure What We Measure Diana We Measure Diana We Measure Programming the Contenics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia Chair: Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Quality and Use of Results of EU Structural Funds Evaluation in Lithuania. Standards for EU Structural Funds Evaluation Danuté Burakiené, Ministry of Finance, Lithuania Can Participatory Evaluation Approach Triager an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003-2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland The							
Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia 15:30-16:00 Challenges of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Presentations, discussion							
Coffee break Total lenges of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Presentations, discussion Programming (parallel session 1.1) Programming for 2014–20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom 16:00-17:15 Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions The Case of Cacha The Case of Cacha Cohesion Search Cohesions The Case of Cacha Cohesion Search Cacha Can Participatory Evaluation Approach Trigger an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovace, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia Concept of Intervention Search Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Cohesion Policy Evaluation Search Cohesion Policy Evaluation Search Cohesion Policy Evaluation Search Cohesion Policy Evaluation Search							
Challenges of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Presentations, discussion Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: tbc Chair: Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Programming for 2014–20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglė Saudargaitė, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Social Stackala Chairs of parallel sessions Chairs of parallel session Chairs of parallel sessi	C - #		Diana Eerma, Faculty of Economics and Busines	s Administration, University of Tartu, Estonia			
Challenges of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesion Policy Presentations, discussion Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: thc Programming for 2014–20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chair: Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Quality and Use of Results of EU Structural Funds Evaluation in Lithuania. Standards for EU Structural Funds Evaluation in Lithuania Can Participatory Evaluation Approach Trigger an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland The		15:30-1	-16:00				
Policy Presentations, discussion Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: tbc Chair: Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPM, Lithuania Programming for 2014–20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions	break		Challenges of the New Programming Period for the Programming and Evaluation of Cohesian				
* Programming (parallel session 1.1) Chair: tbc Programming for 2014–20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žervolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chair: Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Quality and Use of Results of EU Structural Funds Evaluation in Lithuania. Standards for EU Structural Funds Evaluation Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Can Participatory Evaluation Approach Trigger an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland The Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions							
Parallel sessions Chair: tbc Chair: Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania							
Programming for 2014–20 and the Implications for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Parallel Structural Funds Evaluation in Lithuania. Standards for EU Structural Funds Evaluation Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Can Participatory Evaluation Approach Trigger an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland							
for Performance Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Fedulation in Lithuania. Standards for EU Structural Funds Evaluation Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Danuté Burakiené, Ministry of Finance, Lithuania Can Participatory Evaluation Approach Trigger an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland The							
Parallel sessions Parallel sessions Parallel sessions Dr. John Bachtler, European Policy Research Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom 16:00- 17:15 Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglė Saudargaitė, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Social Structural Funds Evaluation Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Can Participatory Evaluation Approach Trigger an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland							
Centre (EPRC), Strathclyde University, United Kingdom Parallel sessions 16:00- 17:15 Parallel sessions 16:00- 17:15 Parallel sessions 16:00- 17:15 Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglė Saudargaitė, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Dr. Vitalis Nakrošis, PPMI, Lithuania Can Participatory Evaluation Approach Trigger an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland The Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions							
16:00- 17:15 Kingdom Programming the Contribution of Culture for Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglė Saudargaitė, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Can Participatory Evaluation Approach Trigger an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland Ithe Social I							
Parallel sessions 17:15 Economic Development and Societal Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglé Saudargaité, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions an Increased Demand for Results of Relevant Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period?			Kingdom				
Cohesion: in Search of the Theory of Change Eglė Saudargaitė, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Social Stakeholders in 2014+ Programming Period? Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland							
Eglė Saudargaitė, Ministry of Culture, Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Krunoslav Karlovcec, Ministry of Economic Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland							
Darius Žeruolis, ESTEP, Lithuania Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Development and Technology, Slovenia 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland	sessions						
Concept of Intervention Logic in Preparation of 2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions 2003–2023 Brief History of Two Decades of Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland							
2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech Republic Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented Approach Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland The Chairs of parallel sessions							
Lenka Sekyrova, Ministry for Regional Development, Czech Republic Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Social Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland			2014–2020 Programmes – the Case of Czech	Cohesion Policy Evaluation & Result Oriented			
Development, Czech Republic National Evaluation Unit in Poland, Poland Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions thc			•				
Conclusion of Day 1 Chairs of parallel sessions Social the				Stanislaw Bienias, Evaluation Expert and Head of			
Chairs of parallel sessions Social the				inalional Evaluation unit in Foluna, Foluna			
Social							
programme	Social						
	programme		IDC				

DAY 2						
Opening of Day 2	9:15- 9:45	Welcome Speeches and Opening Remarks by Conference Hosts Representative of the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Lithuania - tbc				
Plenary session IV	9:45- 10:45	Georg Fischer, European Commission, DG EMPL Experience and Lessons Learnt from Programming and Evaluation of the European Social Fund 2007–2013 Presentations, discussion Chair: Nijolė Mackevičienė, Ministry of Social Security and Labour, Lithuania Overview of ESF Evaluations by Member States in the Current Programming Period Antonella Schulte-Braucks, European Commission, DG EMPL Challenges Addressed? The Contribution of ESF to Ameliorating Employment and Social Inclusion Situation in Lithuania during 2007–2013 Dr. Egidijus Barcevičius, PPMI, Lithuania How does the Institutionalisation of Development Policy Influence the Effectiveness of ESF Interventions? Evidence from the Evaluation of Human Development Programmes in Hungary Gábor Balás, HÉTFA Research Institute, Hungary				
Coffee break	10:45-1	1:15				
		Challenges and Needs for Programmer Presentations, Q&A	enges and Needs for Programming and Evaluation in Different ESF Fields			
		 Employment and Social Inclusion (parallel session 2.1) 	 Education and Life-Long Learning (parallel session 2.2) 	 Institutional Capacity and the Efficiency of Public Administrations (parallel session 2.3) 		
		Chair: Stephen Morris, National Centre for Social Research, United Kingdom Rapporteur: tbc	Chair: Antonella Schulte-Braucks, European Commission, DG EMPL Rapporteur: Dr. Žilvinas Martinatis, Visionary Analytics, Lithuania	Chair: Manuela Geleng, European Commission, DG EMPL Rapporteur: Dr. Klaudijus Maniokas, ESTEP, Lithuania		
Parallel sessions	11:15- 12:30	Using Quantitative Theory Based / Counterfactual Impact Evaluation to Evaluate Actions for The Unemployed Benedict Wauters, ESF Agency Flanders, Belgium Impact of the ESF "Fight Against Discrimination Operational Programme" Managed by Non-Profit organisations in Spain José Manuel Fresno, Network of NGOs managing operators under the OP: Spanish Red Cross, Fundación ONCE, Fundación Secretariado Gitano, Caritas, Spain Let the Administrative Data Speak: a Lesson from Vocational Training Evaluation in the Province of Turin Elena Santanera, National Research Council (CERIS-Cnr), Italy	Lessons Learned for Monitoring ESF Indicators During 2007–2013 Period: the Case of Higher Education Rima Rubčinskaitė, Vilnius University, International Business School, Innovation and Entrepreneurship Center, Lithuania Supporting Cooperation of Education and Business – the Case of Vocational Education in Poland Agnieszka Rybińska, Institute of Educational Research, Poland Learning about the Effectiveness of EU Structural Fund Projects in Italian Schools: a Large Scale RCT for Math Teachers Annamaria Leuzzi, Ministry of Education; Aline Pennisi, Ministry of Economy and INVALSI, Italy	title of the presentation tbc Manuela Geleng, European Commission, DG EMPL The Institutional Bottleneck Dr. Rolf Boehnke, AGEG, Germany Creation of Intervention Methods and Solutions in Administrative Capacity and Efficiency of Public Sector Fields of ESF Programmes Antanas Šabanas, Municipality of Birštonas, Lithuania		
Plenary session V & closing of the conference	Preparation for the New Programming Period: What Is Most Relevant for ESF Interventions, Their Monitoring and Evaluation? Summary of the Day 2, conclusions from the conference Chair: tbc Conclusion of parallel sessions 2.1–2.3: Rapporteurs of parallel sessions Closing remarks Control links of European Commission DC FAARI					
		Georg Fisher, European Commission, DG EMPL Representative of the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Lithuania - tbc				
Lunch	13:30-1	4:30				

NB! Please note that the conference programme as presented in this document is still a draft. The final programme will be announced in the end of May.