

### Appendix 3 – Draft Evaluation Matrix

<b>Relevance:</b> to investigate the extent to which target stakeholders found the design and objectives of the project to be useful and valuable			
<b>Key evaluation questions</b>	<b>Means of verification</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Data collection method</b>
1	<p>To what extent was the project design and set-up (working with Champions, focusing on advocacy and working through the 5 different pillars) the right approach to support healthcare professionals to address the barriers to safe abortion care in each given context?</p> <p>Assessment of the project's added value in relation to other processes ongoing at country level – through context analysis: understanding the (political) landscape and assessment of the project in relation to other initiatives</p> <p>Assessment of the relative importance of each of the 5 pathways in light of the overall results of the project</p> <p>Assessment of the 'Champion model' as a mode of delivering project objectives</p> <p>Analysis of the Theory of Change (ToC), including reviewing the assumptions</p>	<p>Champions</p> <p>Key internal and external stakeholders (at national level)</p> <p>Key external stakeholders (international)</p>	<p>Qualitative interviews</p> <p>Focus group discussions</p> <p>Theory of change analysis</p>
2	<p>Did the project address the needs and priorities of Champions and what benefits were associated with engagement with the programme from their perspective?</p> <p>Assessment of the added value of engagement with the project from a Champion perspective</p> <p><b>Output Indicator 2.2</b> # Champions trained as a VCAT TOT</p> <p><b>Output Indicator 2.2.1</b> # people who receive training including VCAT exercises by a Champion</p> <p><b>Output Indicator 3.4</b> # of presentations given by Champions (poster or oral) at international, regional or country conferences</p>	<p>Champions</p>	<p>Semi-structured interviews</p>
<b>Effectiveness:</b> to investigate to what extent the project achieved its objectives and assess the relative importance of each objective to the final results			
<b>Key evaluation questions</b>	<b>Means of verification</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Data collection method</b>
<i>Professionalism</i>			
3	<p>To what extent has the project contributed towards healthcare professionals improving their knowledge on safe abortion or post-abortion care?</p> <p>Qualitative analysis of primary and secondary stakeholders' perceptions on how activities conducted under this pillar are likely to have improved HCP knowledge</p>	<p>Key internal and external stakeholders (at</p>	<p>Qualitative interviews</p>

		<p><b>Outcome Indicator O1:</b> % of HCPs who complete the "Abortion Care" e-learning who report the knowledge gained has improved their teaching or clinical practice</p> <p><b>Outcome Indicator O7:</b> # of countries that are using an RCOG Best Practice Paper (BPP) in clinical policy or practice, or as an advocacy tool</p>	<p>national/international level)</p> <p>eLearning users</p>	<p>eLearning surveys (immediate and 3 month)</p> <p>case studies</p>
<i>Normalisation</i>				
4	To what extent has the project contributed towards reducing stigma towards healthcare professionals providing abortion care? (country level/global)	<p>Qualitative analysis of primary and secondary stakeholders perceptions on how activities conducted under this pillar are likely to have contributed towards reducing stigma towards healthcare professionals providing abortion care</p> <p><b>Outcome Indicator O2:</b> % of HCPs/medical students who complete VCAT training that self-report more positive attitudes to safe abortion/postabortion care</p> <p><b>Outcome Indicator O3:</b> % of RCOG members who agree that "HCPs need the certainty that they can provide essential healthcare such as abortion without the fear of prosecution, harassment or stigma"</p> <p><b>Outcome Indicator O4:</b> % of RCOG members who report SA/PAC as part of their job but whom "would not provide (or provide information and refer for) SA/PAC (under circumstances permitted by law)"</p>	<p>Key internal and external stakeholders (at national/international level)</p>	<p>Qualitative interviews</p>
<i>Leadership</i>				
5	What are the key achievements resulting from the advocacy work that Champions have conducted over the course of the project?	<p>Qualitative analysis of primary and secondary national stakeholder perceptions on the impact of implementing each of the national advocacy plans</p> <p>Qualitative analysis of Champion perceptions of the achievements of their national advocacy work</p> <p><b>Outcome Indicator O5:</b> # of country advocacy strategies that have contributed to a change in either policy or practice</p>	<p>Key internal and external stakeholders (at national level)</p> <p>Champions</p>	<p>Qualitative interviews</p> <p>Focus group discussions</p> <p>Case studies</p>

6	What are the findings of the research which has been conducted in the field of abortion care under the project? How have the findings been used?	<p><b>Outcome Indicator O7:</b> % of RCOG members who "would likely or very likely consider supporting the RCOG in advocating for safe abortion advocacy"</p> <p>Key findings and recommendations from studies implemented under project grants</p>	Grant awardees	Final research reports
<i>RCOG Voice</i>				
7	In what ways has the College and/or its membership influenced an increase in access to SA/PAC nationally over the course of the project?	<p>Qualitative analysis of primary and secondary national stakeholder perceptions on how the College and/or members of its membership influenced an increase in access to SA/PAC</p> <p>Policy analysis/review of key changes, communications/media review</p> <p><b>Outcome Indicator O8:</b> RCOG's Advocacy Strategy has contributed towards informing and /or changing safe abortion policy on an international level</p>	<p>Key internal and external stakeholders (international level)</p> <p>Policy documents, RCOG Voice log, social and formal media</p>	<p>Qualitative interviews</p> <p>Desk review</p>
<i>Partnerships</i>				
8	How have new or strengthened partnerships added value to our abortion advocacy work?	<p>Qualitative analysis of primary and secondary national stakeholder perceptions on how new or strengthened partnerships have added value to our abortion advocacy work</p> <p><b>Output Indicators:</b></p> <p># of organisations or networks using or disseminating RCOG MAS resources (e.g. advocacy, e-learning and/or best practice papers and/or guidance documents for training or advocacy purposes) (cumulative)</p> <p># of national and international advocacy platforms where RCOG members and/or HQ staff have joined others/worked in collaboration to raise awareness, disseminate or challenge opinions regarding abortion (cumulative)</p>	<p>Key internal and external stakeholders (international level)</p> <p>RCOG Voice log / Resources reach tracker</p>	<p>Qualitative interviews</p> <p>Desk review</p> <p>Case studies</p>

<b>Efficiency:</b> to investigate if the project results been delivered in the most efficient way and what were the enabling and hindering factors				
	<b>Key evaluation questions</b>	<b>Means of verification</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Data collection method</b>
9	To what extent was the project structure and staffing within the Centre/the RCOG and use of a Champion model appropriate for the delivery of this work? And what were the enabling and hindering factors for delivery?	<p>Qualitative analysis of primary internal RCOG stakeholders (MAS team, Centre for Women's Global Health, Finance, Learning, Policy and Public Affairs)</p> <p>Assessment of the management/structure/delivery of the project from a Champion perspective</p>	<p>Key internal stakeholders</p> <p>Champions</p>	<p>Qualitative interviews</p> <p>Semi-structured interviews</p>
<b>Sustainability:</b> to investigate to what extent the project achieved its objectives and assess the relative importance of each objective to the final results				
	<b>Key evaluation questions</b>	<b>Means of verification</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Data collection method</b>
10	What is the likelihood of the project's results being sustained?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Inventory of project aspects which are likely and unlikely to be sustained</li> <li>- Inventory of the signs that the project's benefits will last at organizational (RCOG) level and Champion level</li> <li>- Inventory of needs expressed by Champions to continue their work as a network of advocates for abortion care</li> </ul>	<p>Key internal stakeholders</p> <p>Champions</p>	<p>Qualitative interviews</p> <p>Semi-structured interviews</p>
<b>Impact:</b> to identify the key impacts (anticipated and evidenced) of the project				
11	What are the areas of greatest impact the project has had?	<p>Qualitative analysis of primary and secondary national stakeholder perceptions on areas of impact</p> <p>Inventory of key achievements/outputs and associated impacts</p>	<p>Key external stakeholders (international/international level)</p> <p>Project records</p> <p>Champions</p>	<p>Qualitative interviews</p> <p>Desk review</p> <p>Case studies</p>